

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/061,510	02/01/2002	. Wenjie Li	120-54-PA	9805
75	90 09/10/2003			
Gabor L. Szekeres			EXAMINER	
8141 E Kaiser Boulevard Suite 112 Irvine, CA 92808			KWON, BRIAN YONG S	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1614	Ú
			DATE MAILED: 09/10/2003	/

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commons	10/061,510	LI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Brian S Kwon	1614				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the c rrespondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 J	<u>une 2003</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-40 is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>21-40</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers	,					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e	e) (to a provisional application).				
 a) The translation of the foreign language pro 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesting 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2,	5) Notice of Informal F	r (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				
0.00						

Application/Control Number: 10/061,510

Art Unit: 1614

DETAILED ACTION

Applicants Response to Election/Restrictions Requirement Acknowledged

1. Applicants election with traverse the Group I, claims 1-20, is acknowledged. Applicants traverse the restriction requirement on the grounds that there would be no burden in searching the entire groups. This argument is not persuasive, as claimed invention would be distinctive, each from the other for the reason of the record. Furthermore, the search of the entire groups in the non-patent literature (a significant part of a through examination) would be burdensome. Therefore. The requirement is still deemed proper, and made Final. Claims 21-40 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected claims, the requirement having been traversed in Paper No. 4.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. Acknowledgement is made of applicant's submitting of the information disclosure statement (IDS) on August 4, 2003. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement (IDS) has been considered by the examiner.

Double Patenting

A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention,"-in-this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The

Application/Control Number: 10/061,510

Art Unit: 1614

filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

3. Claims 1-4, 6-10, 12, 17-20 are provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-4, 6-10, 12, 17-20 of copending Application 10/348,241. This is a <u>provisional</u> double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

4. Claims 5, 11 and 13-16 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 5, 11 and 13-16 of copending Application No.10/348,241. This is a provisional double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not yet been patented.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the referenced copending application and would be covered by any patent granted on that copending application since the referenced copending application and the instant application are claiming common-subject matter, as follows: Both the instantly claimed subject matter and the copending application are drawn to a process of treating oral leukoplakia lesions of humans in need of such

Application/Control Number: 10/061,510

Art Unit: 1614

treatment. Although the present claims do not recite POE(20) sorbitan monoleate as the

surfactant in said composition, the scope of the present invention using polyethoxylated cator oil

Page 4

as the surfactant in said composition overlaps with the claims in copending application using the

surfactant selected from the group consisting of polyethoxylated castor oil and POE(20) sorbitan

monooleate.

Conclusion

5. No Claim is allowed.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Brian Kwon whose telephone number is (703) 308-5377. The

examiner can normally be reached Tuesday through Friday from 9:00 am to 7:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Marianne Seidel, can be reached on (703) 308-4725. The fax number for this Group

is (703) 308-4556.

Any inquiry of a general nature of relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

Brian Kwon

ZOHREH FAY PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1600

Zahn & Fo